February 27, 2001

Ms. Sara Shiplet Waitt Senior Associate Commissioner Legal and Compliance Division Texas Department of Insurance P.O. Box 149104 Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2001-0720

Dear Ms. Waitt:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 144450.

The Texas Department of Insurance ("TDI") received a request for "the complete investigative file, interviews, workpapers and findings of Internal Audit Project No. 2000-206." You inform this office that Project No. 2000-206 resulted in a final internal audit report dated August 22, 2000. You further inform us that TDI has released to the requestor copies of a memorandum requesting the audit, a draft internal audit report, and the final audit report. You claim that other responsive information relating to the audit project is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.111, and 552.116 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you raise and have reviewed the information you submitted.

As section 552.103 of the Government Code is the most inclusive exception you raise, we will address it first. Section 552.103, the "litigation exception," provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

POST OFFICE BOX 12548, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548, ITL: (512)463-2100, web: www.oag.state.tx.us

. . . .

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The governmental body that raises section 552.103 has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents sufficient to establish the applicability of the exception to the information that the governmental body seeks to withhold. To sustain this burden, the governmental body must demonstrate: (1) that litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date of its receipt of the request for information and (2) that the information in question is related to that litigation. See University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found., 958 S.W.2d 479 (Tex. App. – Austin 1997, no pet.); Heard v. Houston Post Co., 684 S.W.2d 210 (Tex. App. – Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); see also Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). Both elements of the test must be met in order for information to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.103. Id.

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a caseby-case basis. See Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office with "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." Id. In this instance, you inform this office that the requestor has filed a charge of discrimination against TDI with the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). You have submitted a copy of that document. As you correctly note, this office has determined that the filing of a complaint with the EEOC indicates that litigation is reasonably anticipated. See Open Records Decision No. 336 (1982). You further inform us that the requested information pertaining to Project No. 2000-206 relates to the anticipated litigation between the requestor and TDI. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we find that you have demonstrated that the information in question relates to prospective litigation to which TDI may become a party and that TDI reasonably anticipated that litigation on the date of its receipt of the request for information. We therefore conclude that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103.

In reaching this conclusion, we assume that the opposing party to the anticipated litigation has not seen or had access to any of the information that TDI seeks to withhold under section 552.103. The purpose of section 552.103 is to enable a governmental body to protect its position in litigation by forcing parties seeking information relating to that litigation to obtain it through discovery procedures. See Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4-5 (1990). If the opposing party to anticipated litigation has seen or had access to information relating to the litigation, through discovery or otherwise, then there is no interest in withholding that information from public disclosure under section 552.103. See Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Furthermore, the applicability of section 552.103 ends once the related litigation concludes. See Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). However, TDI must not release any confidential

information even at the conclusion of the litigation. See Gov't Code §§ 552.007, .101, .352. As we are able to make this determination under section 552.103, we need not consider your claims under sections 552.101, 552.111, and 552.116.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

James W. Morris, III

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JWM/er

Ref:

ID# 144450

Encl:

Submitted documents

cc:

Ms. Patricia M. Carroll 606 Creekmont Drive

Round Rock, Texas 78681

(w/o enclosures)